BIOSYNTHESIS OF PAPAVERINE*

HEMA UPRETY, D. S. BHAKUNI and R. S. KAPIL

Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow 226001, India

(Received 9 September 1974)

Key Word Index-Papaver somniferum; Papaveraceae; papaverine; alkaloid biosynthesis.

Abstract—Tracer experiments have shown that in *Papaver somniferum* papaverine arises from (-)-norreticuline via norlaudanidine and norlaudanosine.

INTRODUCTION

Papaverine (1), one of the major 1-benzyliso-quinoline alkaloids of *Papaver somniferum* L. (Papaveraceae), was first isolated from opium by Merck [1] in 1848, and its structure has been confirmed by several syntheses [2–4]. Winterstein and Trier [5] suggested that benzylisoquinoline alkaloids might be derived in *Nature* from two molecules of 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) *via* norlaudanosoline [6] (2). The bioconversion of norlaudanosoline to papaverine then requires methylation and dehydrogenation. Since biological reactions proceed in a definite sequence and generally show a high order of stereoselectivity it was considered of interest to study these latter aspects of the biosynthesis of papaverine.

METHODS AND RESULTS

The racemates of norreticuline [7] (3), reticuline [8] (4), norcodamine [9] (5) and norlaudanidine [10] (6) were prepared by standard procedures. The resolution of (\pm) -di-O-benzylnorreticuline was carried out with (+) and (-)-di-p-toluoyltartaric acids, the chilarity of the enantiomers being established by conversion to the corresponding N-methyl derivatives of known absolute configurations [11]. The acid catalysed debenzylation furnished (-) and (+)-norreticulines (9,10). The tritium in the phenolic precursors (Table 1) was introduced by base catalysed

exchange [12] in tritiated water at 100° and [2′,6′,8-³H₃]-norlaudanosine (7) was obtained by *O*-methylation of labelled norreticuline with diazomethane.

The mature capsules of *P. somniferum* were then injected with tritiated precursors as their tartarates. The plants were left for 6 days to metabolize

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \\ \\ \text{MeO} \\$$

^{*}Communication No. 1982 from the Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow.

Table 1.	Incorporation of labelled p	precursors	into	papaverine
	in P. somnifer	rum		

Precursor fed	Incorporation papaverine (%)
(\pm) -[2',6',8-3H ₃]reticuline (4)	< 0.001
$(+)$ - $\lceil 2',6',8$ - 3 H ₃]norreticuline (3)	0.42
(-)-[2',6',8-3H ₃]norreticuline (9)	0.81
(+)-[2',6',8-3H ₃]norreticuline (10)	< 0.001
(+)-[8-3H]norcodamine (5)	0.14
$(+)$ -[2',6'- 3 H ₂]norlaudanidine (6)	1.48
(\pm) - $[2',6',8$ - 3 $H_3]$ norlaudanosine (7)	1.21

the precursors and then harvested and the alkaloids isolated. The incorporations obtained in each case are recorded in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

Papaverine has been shown to be biosynthesized in P. somniferum from two units of tyrosine [13] via norlaudanosoline [14] and norreticuline [15]. The dehydrogenation of the benzyltetrahydroisoguinoline precursor is an important step in the biosynthesis of (1). The mechanism of this reaction could be stepwise or it could proceed in a concerted manner. Further, this process could occur in a partially methylated 1-benzyltetrahydroisoquinoline precursor, such as, norreticuline (3) or it could take place in a completely methylated derivative, i.e. norlaudanosine (7). The efficient incorporation of (+) norlaudanosine (7)(Table 1) into papaverine when coupled with the earlier data [15] that 1,2-dehydronorreticuline (8) did not participate in the biosynthesis of (1) clearly demonstrates that the dehydrogenation step occurs after complete methylation, presumably at the norlaudanosine level and probably in a concerted manner.

Since biological methylation normally occurs in a definite sequence, partial *O*-methylation of norreticuline at C-7 or C-3' could give rise to norlaudanidine (6) or norcodamine (5) respectively. Norlaudanosine then of course be reached from both of these isomers by further *O*-methylation. However when norcodamine and norlaudanidine were fed in parallel experiments to *P. somniferum*, the former was incorporated 10 times less efficiently than the latter (Table 1) strongly suggesting that norlaudanidine is an intermediate between norreticuline and norlaudanosine.

Normally in Nature, N-norbases are the precursors of N-methyl alkaloids. However, in some cases it has been reported that reverse is also true [16]. The parallel feeding experiments with reticuline and norreticuline in P. somniferum (Table 1) clearly domonstrate that N-demethylation of reticuline is not a favoured process, in the biosynthesis of papaverine.

Although papaverine does not possess an asymmetric centre, yet enzymatic reactions are generally stereospecific and one can expect that either of the enantiomers of norreticuline would be the true biological precursor of papaverine. Indeed when (-) and (+)-norreticulines were fed to *P. sommiferum* plants, papaverine was exclusively biosynthesized from (-)-norreticuline.

The incorporation of a hypothetical precursor into an alkaloid does not prove it to be a true precursor. The true precursor must also exist in the plant. The isolation of reticuline [17], codamine [18], laudanidine [18] and laudanosine [18] from *P. somniferum* indirectly lend support to the possible existence of the corresponding *N*-norbases in this plant.

The tracer experiments carried out so far on the biosynthesis of papaverine in P. somniferum supports the following sequence: tyrosine \rightarrow nor-laudanosoline $(2) \rightarrow (-)$ -norreticuline $(9) \rightarrow$ nor-laudanidine $(6) \rightarrow$ nor-laudanosine $(7) \rightarrow$ papaverine (1).

EXPERIMENTAL

(-)-Di-O-benzylnorreticuline. The salt from (\pm)-OO-dibenzylnorreticuline (1·20 g) and (+)-di-p-toluoyl-d-tartaric acid (0·59 g) was fractionally crystallized successively from MeOH-Et₂O and MeOH to give colourless needles, mp 183–84°, (α) $_{0}^{21}$ –17° (c 1·0. CHCl₃). It was decomposed with aq. 4 N NaOH to yield (-)-OO-dibenzylnorreticuline as an oil (300 mg). (α) $_{0}^{21}$ –24° (c 1·0. CHCl₃).

(-)-Norreticuline. A solution of (-)-OO-dibenzylnorreticuline (200 mg) in MeOH (8 ml) and 12 N HCl (7 ml) was refluxed for 2 hr and the solvent removed. Residue was diluted with $\rm H_2O$ and extracted with Et₂O (3 × 10 ml). The aq. acidic layer was basified with aq. Na₂CO₃ solution and the liberated base was extracted with CHCl₃ (4 × 20 ml). The combined CHCl₃ layer was washed with $\rm H_2O$, dried (anhyd. Na₂SO₄) and the solvent removed to furnish (-)-norreticuline (140 mg) as amorphous powder, ($\rm z)_0^{2.1}$ -36·6° (c 0·5, MeOH).

(+)-OO-Dibenzylnorreticuline. The salt from (±)-OO-dibenzylnorreticuline (0-86 g) and (-)-di-p-toluoyl-l-tartaric acid (0-43 g) was fractionally crystallized successively from MeOH-Et₂O and MeOH to afford colourless needles, mp 182–83°, (α) $_{0}^{-1}$ +15° (c 1-0, CHCl₃). It was decomposed with aq. 4 N NaOH to give (+)-OO-dibenzylnorreticuline as an oil (200 mg), (α) $_{0}^{-1}$ +23° (c 14), CHCl₃).

- (+)-Norreticuline. A mixture of (+)-OO-dibenzylnorreticuline (200 mg) in MeOH (8 ml) and 12 N HCl (7 ml) was refluxed for 2 hr. The resulting mixture when worked up in the usual manner furnished (+)-norreticuline (150 mg), $(\alpha)_D^{21^\circ}$ + 34.5° (c 0.5, MeOH).
- (+)-OO-Dibenzylreticuline. A soln of (+)-OO-dibenzylnor-reticuline (50 mg) in MeOH (5 ml) and HCHO (1 ml) was treated with powdered NaBH₄ (40 mg) during 30 min at 5–10°. The reaction mixture was further stirred at room temp. for 1 hr, concentrated, the residue was neutralised with dil. HCl and extracted with Et₂O (3 × 10 ml). The aq. acidic layer was basified with aq. Na₂CO₃ soln. to pH 8·O-9·0 and extracted with CHCl₃ (3 × 20 ml). The combined CHCl₃ layer was washed with H₂O, dricd (anhyd. Na₂SO₄) and solvent removed to yield (+)-OO-dibenzylreticuline (45 mg), (α)₀²¹ + 46° (c 1·0, CHCl₃) (Lit. [11] (α)_D + 44° (c 1·0, CHCl₃)).

(-)-Di-O-benzylreticuline. Similar N-methylation of (-)-OO-dibenzylnorreticuline (50 mg) as described above furnished (-)-OO-dibenzylreticuline (46 mg), $(\alpha)_D^{2.1} - 43^\circ$ (c 1·0, CHCl₃) (Lit. [11] $(\alpha)_D - 42^\circ$ (c 1·0, CHCl₃)).

Feeding experiments. The labelled precursors were dissolved in dil. tartaric acid and injected into the mature capsules of *P. somniferum*. The plants were kept alive for 6 days, then harvested and worked up for papaverine.

Isolation of papayerine. Plant material (typically 300–400 g. wet wt) and inactive papaverine (150 mg) were macerated with EtOH (500 ml) and kept overnight. EtOH was decanted and residual plant material percolated with additional EtOH (4 × 500 ml). Combined ethanolic extract was concentrated under red pres to 100 ml and dild with 0.5 N HCl (50 ml). The soln was then extracted with EtOAc (5 \times 50 ml) and aq. acidic layer was extracted successively with Et₂O (5 \times 50 ml) and CHCl₃ (5 × 50 ml). The CHCl₃ layer was washed with aq. NaHCO₃ soln, H₂O, dried (anhyd. Na₂SO₄) and evaporated to dryness to afford crude papaverine (140 mg), which was chromatographed on a column of neutral Al₂O₃. The elution was effected with C₆H₆ to furnish papaverine (110 mg), which was converted to the hydrochloride, mp 222-24° and crystallized to constant activity from MeOH-Et₂O. The radiochemical purity of the labelled papaverine in each expt was checked by reverse diln technique and further through its methiodide and conversion to laudanosine [19].

Acknowledgement—We are grateful to Mr. S. M. A. Haque, District Opium Officer, Lucknow, for his generous help in the supply of *P. somniferum* plants.

REFERENCES

- 1. Merck, G. (1848) Ann. 66, 125; (1849) 73, 50,
- Burger, A. (1954) The benzylisoquinoline alkaloids in *The Alkaloids* (Mansake, R. H. F. and Homes, H. L., eds.),
 Vol. 4, p. 29. Academic Press, New York and references therein.
- Deulofeu, V., Comin, J. and Vernengo, M. J. (1968) The benzylisoquinoline alkaloids in *The Alkaloids* (Manske, R. H. F., ed.), Vol. 10, p. 402. Academic Press, New York and references therein.
- Taylor, E. C. and Martin, S. F. (1972) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 2874.
- Winterstein, E. and Trier, G. (1910) Die Alkaloid p. 307. Bornträger, Berlin.
- Battersby, A. R. (1963) Tilden Lecture Proc. Chem. Soc. 189.
- Tomita, M. and Kunitomo, J. (1960) J. Pharm. Soc. Japan 80, 1238.
- Gopinath, K. W., Govindachari, T. R. and Vishwanathan, N. (1959) Chem. Ber. 92, 1657; Jain, M. K. (1962) J. Chem. Soc. 2203.
- 9. Billek, G. (1956) Monatsch 87, 1657.
- Tomita, M., Kunitomo, J. and Kikuchi, S. (1961) Yakuqaku Zasshi 81, 108.
- Battersby, A. R., Foulkes, D. M. and Binks, R. (1965) J. Chem. Soc. 3323.
- Barton, D. H. R., Bhakuni, D. S., Chapman, G. M., Kirby, G. W. Haynes, L. J. and Stuart, K. L. (1967) J. Chem. Soc. C. 1295.
- Battersby, A. R. and Harper, B. J. T. (1962) J. Chem. Soc. 3526.
- Battersby, A. R., Binks, R., Francis, R. J., McCaldin, D. J. and Ramuz, H. (1964) J. Chem. Soc. 3600.
- Brochmann-Hanssen, E. Fu, C.-C., Leung, A. Y. and Zanati, G. (1971) J. Pharm. Sci. 60, 1672.
- Stuart, K. L. and Graham, L. (1971) Chem. Commun. 392; (1973) Phytochemistry 12, 1973.
- Brochmann-Hanssen, E. and Nielsen, B. (1965) Tetrahedron Letters 1271.
- Brochmann-Hanssen, E., Nielsen, B. and Utzinger, G. E. (1965) J. Pharm. Sci. 54, 1531.
- 19. Mirza, R. (1957) J. Chem. Soc. 4400.